Attorney Docket: 64098-0888 S.N.: 10/018,269

REMARKS

Claims 13-23 are pending in this application. By this Amendment Claims 13-19 and 22-23 are amended. Favorable consideration of the claims is respectfully requested in light of the following Remarks.

Objection to the Specification

The Examiner objected to the specification for an minor informality. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the specification to include—Summary of the Invention—on page 3 before the last paragraph.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Claim 13 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Particularly, the Examiner states the subject matter recited "such that the brake pressure demand in the following wheel brake circuit is adjusted before adjusting the brake pressure demand in the leading brake circuit" was not disclosed in the specification. The undersigned has amended claim 13 to clear up the points of confusion cited by the examiner. Specifically, claim 13 has been amended to more closely track the specification regarding the order of introduction, maintaining, or reducing of the brake pressures in the leading and following wheel brake circuits. Accordingly the examiner's rejection of claim 13 is now believed to be overcome. Support for the language added to claim 13 can be found in numerous places throughout the specification, one particular location being page 9, last full paragraph of the substitute specification clean copy.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. \$112, Second Paragraph

Claims 13-19 and 22-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, the undersigned has examined each and every one of the Examiner's citations to §112, Second Paragraph rejections and has amended the claims to overcome these objections. The amendments made in response to the §112, Second Paragraph rejections are self-explanatory and the undersigned does not believe that they require any further comment.

Attorney Docket: 64098-0888

S.N.: 10/018,269

CONCLUSION

Should Examiner Sy believe anything further would be desirable in order to place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

It is believed that any additional fees due with respect to this paper have already been identified. However, if any additional fees are required in connection with the filing of this paper, permission is given to charge account number 18-0013 in the name of Rader, Fishman and Grauer PLLC.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 26, 2003

Customer No. 010291

Telephone No. (248) 594-0600

R0200820.DOC

Joseph V. Coppola, Sr., Reg. No. 33,373

Sona Wanda, Reg. No. 52,060 Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC 39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 Attorneys for Applicants

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 2 9 2003

OFFICIAL